

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,243	11/18/2003	Marc D. Better	11022US16	8820
7590 07/13/2006			EXAMINER	
Janet M. McNicholas, Ph.D. McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 W. Madison Street, 34th Floor			HUYNH, PHUONG N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				FAFER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0001		1644	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/717,243	BETTER ET AL. Art Unit	
A SANTATION OF THE PARTY OF THE SANTAN AND THE SANT	Christina Chan	1644	
Document Code - AP:PRE	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for F	Review filed <u>5/30/06</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	review is appropriate			
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.			
is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this d running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date			
The panel has determined the status of the collaim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time. Applicant agreed to Statement and a	n held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of emains closed. No further action is required by author own enslip mend claims as Liscussed.			
 Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by 	held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office			
All participants:				
(1) <u>Phuong Huynh</u> .	(3) Christina Chan, SPE, 1644. Mushmi Cha			
(2) <u>Long Le, SPE 1641</u> .	(4)			